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Is Obesity Associated With a Decline in Intelligence Quotient During the First Half of the Life Course?


* Correspondence to Dr. Daniel W. Belsky, 2020 W. Main Street, Suite 201, Durham, NC 27704 (e-mail: dbelsky@duke.edu).

Initially submitted April 26, 2013; accepted for publication May 24, 2013.

Cross-sectional studies have found that obesity is associated with low intellectual ability and neuroimaging abnormalities in adolescence and adulthood. Some have interpreted these associations to suggest that obesity causes intellectual decline in the first half of the life course. We analyzed data from a prospective longitudinal study to test whether becoming obese was associated with intellectual decline from childhood to midlife. We used data from the ongoing Dunedin Multidisciplinary Health and Development Study, a population-representative birth cohort study of 1,037 children in New Zealand who were followed prospectively from birth (1972–1973) through their fourth decade of life with a 95% retention rate. Intelligence quotient (IQ) was measured in childhood and adulthood. Anthropometric measurements were taken at birth and at 12 subsequent in-person assessments. As expected, cohort members who became obese had lower adulthood IQ scores. However, obese cohort members exhibited no excess decline in IQ. Instead, these cohort members had lower IQ scores since childhood. This pattern remained consistent when we accounted for children’s birth weights and growth during the first years of life, as well as for childhood-onset obesity. Lower IQ scores among children who later developed obesity were present as early as 3 years of age. We observed no evidence that obesity contributed to a decline in IQ, even among obese individuals who displayed evidence of the metabolic syndrome and/or elevated systemic inflammation.
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Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; hsCRP, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein; IQ, intelligence quotient; RR, relative risk; SE, standard error.

Obesity is a global public health challenge and a leading cause of preventable death (1). Obesity is a well-established risk factor for both cardiovascular and metabolic diseases. Recent research has suggested that obesity may damage not only the body but also the brain.

Two streams of research have given rise to the hypothesis that obesity can harm the brain and compromise performance on intelligence tests. The first stream of research comprises brain imaging studies that document structural and functional abnormalities in obese individuals that are apparent as early as adolescence (2–5) and studies that show that obese children and adults have lower intelligence quotients (IQs) than do lean controls (6, 7). Together, these findings have been interpreted to suggest that obesity may harm key brain regions and functions that support the IQ (8). This interpretation has entered popular discourse through media headlines such as “Extreme obesity in tots tied to low IQ” (9); “Obesity can lower children’s IQ” (10); “Obesity might lower teens’ thinking skills, study suggests” (11); and “The greater your weight, the lower your IQ, say scientists” (12). The conclusion that obesity damages the IQ during the first half of the life course is premature. Because extant studies were cross-sectional, they could not establish the temporal ordering of obesity and low IQ. To advance research and refine causal inferences about the obesity–IQ association, life-course studies are needed that use individuals as their own controls. Such studies can test within-individual changes in IQ from childhood, before the onset of obesity, to adulthood, after obesity develops (2, 13).
The second stream of research comprises studies that follow-up cohorts established in midlife. Most of these studies found that adults who were obese in midlife were more likely to suffer cognitive decline and develop dementia than were their lean peers (14–16). This finding has given rise to theories that prevention and treatment of obesity may protect older adults from cognitive decline (17–19). This conclusion is also premature. Low IQ in childhood is a risk factor for both obesity and later-life cognitive decline, and it may account for some of the observed association between the 2 factors (20–25). To advance research and refine causal inferences about the obesity–dementia association in the second half of the life course, it is important to understand the interplay between cognitive function and cardiometabolic processes in the first half of the life course. For this reason, Epidemiologic Reviews recently noted that “better understand the direction of the association between obesity and cognitive aging, longitudinal studies are needed . . . preferably starting in early life” (26, p. 30).

We conducted a prospective life-course study of the association between obesity and IQ decline using data from a birth cohort of 1,037 individuals followed prospectively through 38 years of age. Cohort members completed tests of cognitive ability at ages 3, 7, 9, and 11 years and again in adulthood at age 38 years. Obesity was assessed at regular intervals across the 4 decades of follow-up. To advance research on the association between obesity and IQ, we used these data to test the hypothesis that cohort members who developed obesity between the ages of 11 and 38 years would experience a decline in IQ greater than that of their peers who were never obese. Measures of weight and cognitive ability between birth and 3 years of age were also used to push back the age window for the ascertainment of temporal ordering. Measures of metabolic and inflammatory abnormalities were used to differentiate severe obesity cases from persons who had elevated body mass indexed (BMI) but not other clinical features of obesity.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Study sample

Participants were members of the Dunedin Multidisciplinary Health and Development Study, a longitudinal investigation of health and behavior in a complete birth cohort. Study members (n = 1,037; 91% of eligible births; 52% male) were all individuals born between April 1972 and March 1973 in Dunedin, New Zealand, who were eligible for the longitudinal study based on residence in the province at 3 years of age and who participated in the first follow-up assessment at 3 years of age. The cohort represents the full range of socioeconomic statuses in the general population of New Zealand’s South Island and is primarily white (27). Assessments were carried out at birth and at ages 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 18, 21, 26, 32, and 38 years, at which time 95% of the 1,007 study members who were still alive took part. At each assessment wave, study members were brought to the Dunedin research unit for a full day of interviews and examinations. The Otago Ethics Committee approved each phase of the study and informed consent was obtained from all study members. The study protocol was approved by the institutional ethical review boards of the participating universities.

#### Measures

**Intelligence quotient.** IQ is a highly reliable measure of general intellectual functioning that captures overall ability across differentiable cognitive functions. Participants’ IQ scores were assessed in childhood at ages 7, 9, and 11 years and again in adulthood at age 38 years. We measured IQ decline by comparing scores from the individually administered Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children-Revised (averaged across ages 7, 9, and 11 years) (28) with those from the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale-IV (29). Both had a mean score of 100 and a standard deviation of 15. In addition to the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children-Revised and Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale-IV, we administered the Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test (30), the Trail Making Test (31), and the Grooved Pegboard Test at ages 13 and 38 years to assess memory, executive functioning, and motor functioning, respectively (30).

**Obesity phenotypes**

**Obesity.** Cohort members’ heights and weights were measured at each assessment. Height was measured to the nearest millimeter using a portable Harpenden Stadiometer (Holtain, Crymych, United Kingdom). Weight was recorded to the nearest 0.1 kg at ages 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 21, 26, 32, and 38 years using calibrated scales. Individuals were weighed in light clothing. BMI was measured as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared. Through 15 years of age, obesity was defined using the 90th percentile of the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention reference BMI distributions for boys and girls (http://www.cdc.gov/growthcharts/). Subsequently, obesity was defined as a BMI of 30 or higher. During the 25-year interval between IQ measurements (ages 13–38 years), 28% of the cohort became obese (n = 289). This prevalence is in line with the general New Zealand population (in the most recent Ministry of Health report, obesity prevalence was 28% for New Zealanders 15 years of age or older) (33).

**Severe obesity.** We defined cases of severe obesity at ages 32 and 38 years using assessments of metabolic syndrome and systemic inflammation, which are established clinical features of severe obesity (34). Metabolic syndrome was assessed using measurements of 5 biomarkers: 1) high waist circumference (≥88 cm for women, ≥102 cm for men), 2) high blood pressure (≥130/85 mm Hg), 3) low high-density lipoprotein cholesterol level (<50 mg/dL for women, <40 mg/dL for men), 4) high glycated hemoglobin (≥5.7%), and 5) high triglyceride level (≥200 nmol/L). Biomarker assessments have been described in detail previously (35, 36). Cohort...
members with high-risk values for 3 or more biomarkers were defined as having the metabolic syndrome (37).

Elevated systemic inflammation was assessed using assays of high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP) in blood. As previously described (36), hsCRP was measured with a Hitachi 917 analyzer (Roche Diagnostics, GmbH, D-68298, Mannheim, Germany) using a particle-enhanced immunoturbidimetric assay. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention/American Heart Association definition of high cardiovascular risk (hsCRP >3 mg/L) was used to identify our risk group (38).

Of the original cohort, 92% had available data on severe obesity phenotypes (n = 969). Within this group, 18% had severe obesity, defined as having a BMI of 30 or higher and either the metabolic syndrome or a hsCRP level >3 mg/L (n = 181).

**Early childhood weight measures.** Individual differences in obesity risk emerge during gestation and are further established during infancy and childhood through accelerated growth trajectories (39, 40). We assessed rapid early life growth that predisposed participants to obesity using data on 1) weight at birth; 2) rate of weight gain in early childhood assessed as the difference between weight at birth (from hospital records) and weight at 3 years of age; and 3) age and BMI at adiposity rebound, calculated as the nadir of each cohort member’s childhood BMI growth curve fitted over ages 3–13 years (41). Collectively, these measures explained 18% of BMI variance at the end of follow-up and predicted obesity onset with moderate sensitivity and specificity (C statistic = 0.75, 95% confidence interval (CI): 0.71, 0.79).

**Obesity risk factors.** The socioeconomic statuses of cohort members’ families were measured using a 6-point scale that assessed parents’ occupational statuses, defined based on average income and educational levels derived from the New Zealand Census. The highest occupational status of either parent was averaged across the childhood assessments (42). Family history of obesity was derived from heights and weights of the cohort members’ parents that were assessed via parent report when cohort members were 11 years of age. BMIs were calculated and standardized by sex, and maternal and paternal standardized scores were averaged to create a single family history score (41).

**Analysis**

All analyses included the 913 cohort members with available childhood and adulthood IQ data. Surviving cohort members for whom we did not have IQ data at either period did not differ from the analysis sample in terms of obesity or their available IQ measurement. Because the IQ is an age-standardized measurement, we were able to compare scores in childhood and adulthood to quantify IQ decline. We used linear regression models to test the hypothesis that developing obesity caused a decline in IQ. We regressed adult IQ scores on obesity status and childhood IQ to estimate the change in IQ associated with becoming obese. The regression model tested whether, after adjustment for baseline IQ, becoming obese was associated with having a lower adult IQ. This approach yielded identical results to so-called “differences in differences” models that tested a time-by-obesity interaction to predict IQ. These models used panel datasets that included 2 observations per individual to test for differences in IQ change from 11 to 38 years of age in the group of participants who developed obesity as compared with the group who remained lean. The regression models included a main effect term for the time elapsed between IQ measurements, a main effect term for a dummy variable that coded whether the study member became obese during follow-up, and a product term that tested the interaction between these 2 variables. Because we did not find that obesity was associated with a decline in IQ, we next tested the hypothesis of reverse causation, that is, that having a low IQ predisposes children to develop obesity. We used Cox models to regress time to obesity onset on childhood IQ and covariates to derive hazard ratios. We used multinomial logit models to regress obesity outcomes on childhood IQ and covariates to derive relative risks. The multinomial logit model showed the probabilities that children would 1) remain lean, 2) develop non-severe obesity, or 3) develop severe obesity with metabolic syndrome or elevated systemic inflammation. Relative risks were derived using the group who remained lean as the reference group. Effect sizes for analyses of the cognitive testing measure are presented in standardized IQ points (mean, 100; standard deviation, 15). For analyses of obesity outcomes, effect sizes are reported for a 1-standard-deviation change in IQ score. Because the sample is a representative birth cohort, it forms its own test norms for the purpose of estimating effect sizes. Regression models were adjusted for sex to account for differences in obesity prevalence. Statistical analyses were conducted using Stata, version 12.0 (Stata Corp. LP, College Station, Texas).

**RESULTS**

Is becoming obese associated with IQ decline?

Similar to what was seen in prior cross-sectional studies of adults, we found that cohort members who became obese had lower adult IQ scores than did their peers who remained lean during follow-up (for the obese group, adult IQ score = 96.93 (standard error (SE), 0.92) and for the lean group, adult IQ score = 101.28 (SE, 0.58); P = 0.001 for difference). However, cohort members who developed obesity did not have a greater change in IQ score than those who remained lean over the 25-year period; in both groups, the average change in IQ was less than 0.10 IQ points (P = 0.831 for difference). Instead, cohort members who became obese had lower IQ scores at adulthood baseline than did their lean peers (for the group who became obese, childhood IQ score = 97.01 (SE, 0.93) and for the lean group, childhood IQ score = 101.25 (SE, 0.58); P = 0.001 for difference) (Figure 1A).

It was possible that early-onset obesity had already affected IQ levels by the time of childhood baseline testing (at ages 7–11 years). To rule this out, we repeated our analysis, excluding cases with obesity onset through age 11 years (n = 120). Results were unchanged. Compared with their peers who remained lean, cohort members in whom the onset of obesity occurred for the first time during the ages of 13–38 years had lower IQ scores at childhood baseline (IQ = 96.41,
It was possible that children on a developmental trajectory to become obese were already suffering IQ decline by the time of the childhood baseline assessment. To rule this out, we performed a statistical adjustment to our analysis to take into account each child’s developmental predisposition to obesity as measured by their weight at birth, their weight gain between birth and 3 years of age, and their age and BMI at adiposity rebound. Results were unchanged by statistical adjustment for these indicators of early growth predisposing to obesity: Cohort members who became obese had childhood IQs that were 4.27 (SE, 1.27) points lower and adult IQs that were 4.35 (SE, 1.24) points lower than those of cohort members who remained lean (Figure 1C). As a final check, we also examined scores on the Peabody Picture Vocabulary IQ test, which cohort members had completed at 3 years of age. Cohort members who later became obese already had IQs at 3 years of age that were lower than those of their peers who would remain lean (for the group that became obese, Peabody IQ = 97.80 (SE, 0.96); for the never-obese group, Peabody IQ = 100.91 (SE, 0.60); $P = 0.006$).

It was possible that we did not observe obesity cases of sufficient severity to cause IQ decline. To rule this out, we compared childhood and adulthood IQ scores of cohort members who never developed obesity with those of cohort members who developed severe obesity, defined as having a BMI of 30 or higher in addition to the metabolic syndrome or elevated systemic inflammation (hsCRP >3 mg/L). Figure 1D shows that children who later developed severe obesity had lower IQ scores at childhood baseline and at adult follow-up but exhibited no excess IQ decline (childhood IQ = 96.41 (SE, 1.21) and adult IQ = 96.18 (SE, 1.14)). Results were similar for tests of memory, executive function, and motor function. Cohort members who developed obesity scored lower on neuropsychological functioning tests at baseline (13 years of age) and at follow-up (38 years of age) but exhibited no excess neuropsychological functioning decline (Table 1).

**Is lower childhood IQ associated with becoming obese?**

Having found that lower IQ temporally preceded the onset of obesity, we turned to the question of whether having a lower IQ predisposed children to become obese. Children with lower IQ scores were more likely to become obese over the following 3 decades; a 15-point (1 standard deviation) difference in IQ between children predicted a 27% difference in their hazard of becoming obese (hazard ratio = 1.28, SE, 1.12) and at adult follow-up (IQ = 96.58, SE, 1.08), but there was no evidence of a larger change in IQ (Figure 1B).
95% CI: 1.10, 1.48). Specifically, children with lower IQs were at an increased risk of developing severe obesity with metabolic syndrome or elevated inflammation (relative risk \( RR = 1.40 \), 95% CI: 1.15, 1.71). This association was not fully explained by the children’s developmental predisposition to obesity (adjusted \( RR = 1.47 \), 95% CI: 1.19, 1.82), socioeconomic characteristics of the households in which the children grew up (adjusted \( RR = 1.30 \), 95% CI: 1.05, 1.61), children’s family histories of obesity (adjusted \( RR = 1.34 \), 95% CI: 1.09, 1.65), or adjustment for all of these risk factors (adjusted \( RR = 1.34 \), 95% CI: 1.06, 1.70). Figure 2 shows the associations between childhood IQ and obesity onset (Figure 1A) and risk for developing severe obesity (Figure 1B).

**DISCUSSION**

Reports based on cross-sectional study designs have suggested that developing obesity increases risk of a decline in IQ among adolescents and adults. These findings have raised alarm bells, and not just in the public-health community. If obesity does cause a decline in IQ, it could represent a threat to the ability of today’s children to compete in tomorrow’s information-intensive economy. There is no doubt that combating the obesity epidemic is a critical national priority. However, our data are consistent with the hypothesis that cross-sectional associations between obesity and IQ arise because children with lower IQs are at greater risk of becoming obese (43, 44). Prior reports on correlations between low childhood IQ and later obesity risk included only a single childhood measurement of IQ and could not rule out the possibility that children’s IQs declined following the onset of obesity (21–23). We followed a population-representative birth cohort for whom we had measurements of IQ in childhood before obesity onset and who were retested in midlife after some cohort members had become obese. Therefore, unlike those from previous studies, our data show that becoming obese in adolescence or young adulthood does not cause IQ decline as of midlife.

We acknowledge some limitations of our study. First, although our study shows a temporal precedence of low IQ over obesity, it does not establish causation. The apparent relationship between low childhood IQ and increased risk of obesity could arise from a common cause (45). Second, our study is right-hand censored at age 38 years. There are reports of obesity as a contributor to cognitive decline observed in elderly patients and those with dementing illnesses (16, 46), which implicates metabolic or inflammatory mechanisms (47, 48). Our cohort is not old enough for us to try to determine whether obesity poses risk for dementia. However, our observation that individuals with metabolic/inflammatory

**Table 1.** Association of Obesity With Change in Intelligence Quotient and Neuropsychological Functioning, Dunedin Multidisciplinary Health and Development Study, 1972–2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Lean (n = 644)*</th>
<th>Body Composition in Standardized IQ Units</th>
<th>Obese (n = 269)**</th>
<th></th>
<th>Severe Obese (n = 170)**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Child</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>Change</td>
<td>Child</td>
<td>Adult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQ</td>
<td>101.25</td>
<td>101.28</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>97.01***</td>
<td>96.93***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbal IQ</td>
<td>100.94</td>
<td>101.05</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>97.74***</td>
<td>97.47**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance IQ</td>
<td>101.31</td>
<td>101.24</td>
<td>−0.08</td>
<td>96.85***</td>
<td>97.03***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurocognitive tests</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rey Delayed Recall</td>
<td>100.70</td>
<td>100.41</td>
<td>−0.29</td>
<td>98.38*</td>
<td>99.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trails B</td>
<td>100.80</td>
<td>100.89</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>98.14*</td>
<td>97.94*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grooved Peg Board</td>
<td>101.68</td>
<td>101.40</td>
<td>−0.28</td>
<td>96.10***</td>
<td>96.76***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: IQ, intelligence quotient.

* \( P < 0.05 \); ** \( P < 0.01 \); *** \( P < 0.001 \) versus the lean group.

a Cohort members in the lean group did not have a body mass index (weight [kg]/height [m]²) in excess of the cutoff for obesity during the course of follow-up.

b Cohort members in the obese group had a body mass index of 30 or higher at 1 or more assessments during follow-up.

c Cohort members in the severely obese group had a body mass index of 30 or higher at 1 or more measurements during follow-up and were diagnosed with the metabolic syndrome or showed evidence of having elevated systemic inflammation (high-sensitivity C-reactive protein level >3 mg/L).

d One point = 1/15 of 1 standard deviation.
obesity in midlife have already had a 5-point IQ deficit since childhood means that it is necessary to control statistically for childhood IQ before estimating the effect size for the attributable risk of obesity to late-life cognitive decline (49), lest that risk be over-estimated. Third, our study lacked neuroimaging data, and we were limited to neuropsychological testing to assess brain functioning. However, our finding suggests that studies of structural and functional brain abnormalities in obese adults should also consider the question of temporal order (2).

Despite these limitations, our study has important implications for obesity research and policy. After reports from cross-sectional studies that obesity is associated with a lower IQ, much research attention has been invested to elucidate the pathophysiology by which obesity causes decline in mental ability (50–55). Our findings suggest that similar attention should be focused on understanding why children with lower IQs have an increased risk of obesity. A further implication of our results is that research is needed to investigate whether premorbid differences in intellectual functioning account for the link between midlife obesity and late-life dementia. Evidence from prospective studies has shown that children with lower IQs have a greater risk of dementia (24, 25). To date, studies linking midlife obesity with dementia have not accounted for differences in premorbid IQ scores (13, 26). Theories of why obese adults are at greater risk for dementia focus on metabolic dysregulation and elevated systemic inflammation (56, 57). Our results indicate that, at least in the first half of the life course, these exposures do not harm the IQ, but they do occur more often in individuals who have had low IQ since childhood. Collectively, this evidence suggests the hypothesis that intellectual functioning in childhood indexes risk for poor midlife physical health and accelerated cognitive aging and may confound associations between the 2. Future studies should test for such confounding and seek to better understand brain health as driver of the aging process, not just an outcome.
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